# international journal for scientific research innovations

ISSN: 2584-1092

Vol. 2, Issue 2; Nov 2024, pp. 27-30

Date of Publication: 28/11/2024

# Comparative Analysis of Mechanical Behaviour of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnO Nanocomposite

Unni kisan<sup>1</sup>, Birendra Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Syed Asghar Husain Rizvi<sup>3</sup>

1.2.3 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti Language University, Lucknow

Corresponding author's mail: krishnanswami1996@gmail.com

Abstract - Zinc oxide (ZnO) and cobalt ferrite ( $CoFe_2O_4$ ) are two technologically significant oxides with complementary mechanical and functional characteristics. ZnO provides high elasticity and semiconducting properties, whereas  $CoFe_2O_4$  is magnetically resistant and mechanically rigid. The mechanical properties of  $CoFe_2O_4$ , ZnO, and their nanocomposite ( $CoFe_2O_4$ /ZnO) are compared in this work, including hardness, elastic modulus, tensile strength, and fracture features. We examine pertinent research, examining how phase distribution, interfaces, and microstructure affect mechanical performance. It is demonstrated that the composite has the potential to create a mechanically balanced and multipurpose nanomaterial by combining the flexibility of ZnO with the stiffness of  $CoFe_2O_4$ . We highlight important research needs, such as interface engineering, fatigue behavior, and multiscale mechanical characterisation, because there is a deficiency of experimental mechanical data for the composite.

Keywords – Cobalt ferrite; Zinc oxide; Nanocomposite; Mechanical properties; Hardness; Elastic modulus; Interface; Fracture.

#### 1. Introduction

Ferrites and semiconducting oxides can be combined to create multifunctional nanocomposites that have a wide range of potential uses in electronics, sensors, actuators, and structural devices. High mechanical hardness, chemical stability, significant magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and magnetic resilience make cobalt ferrite (CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), a spinel ferrite, highly valued [1,2]. On the other hand, zinc oxide (ZnO) is a semiconductor with a wurtzite structure that exhibits good elastic behavior, moderate stiffness, and piezoelectric activity [3,4]. The goal of a nanocomposite made of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnO is to take use of both the ferrite phase's mechanical and magnetic strength and ZnO's elastic compliance and semiconducting properties. Such a composite may be useful for flexible structural-magnetic systems, durable coatings, and magnetoelectric devices [1,5]. Nevertheless, despite extensive research on the structural and magnetic properties of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO composites [1,3,5], a thorough comprehension of their mechanical behavior is still lacking. In order to understand how microstructural elements such crystallite size, phase interfaces, and dispersion impact hardness, modulus, strength, and fracture behavior, this work critically analyzes the mechanical characteristics of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, ZnO, and their nanocomposites. We also list obstacles and recommend more research to clarify and improve these nanocomposites' mechanical performance.

# 2. Mechanical Properties of the Constituents

# 2.1 Cobalt Ferrite (CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)

CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is known to be a mechanically robust and hard magnetic spinel ferrite. The stable spinel crystal structure and ionic bonding are responsible for its exceptional mechanical stiffness and hardness [1,6]. CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles serve as reinforcing fillers in polymer-based nanocomposites;

in one research, embedding CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in an epoxy matrix greatly enhanced tensile strength and Young's modulus (up to ~60% and ~35% increase for specific loadings) [7].

Furthermore, thorough structural analyses (e.g., by coprecipitation and milling) demonstrate that processing alters crystallite size and residual strain, affecting mechanical and magnetic behavior [8,9]. For instance, mechanical milling increases microstrain and decreases crystallite size, which tends to enhance coercivity and probably influences mechanical hardness [9].

### 2.2 Zinc Oxide (ZnO)

ZnO nanostructures have been thoroughly mechanically characterized. Nanowires of ZnO, for instance, display elastic moduli in the range of 123–154 GPa, as determined by resonance methods, depending on their diameter [10]. These values are near to bulk, indicating that ZnO at the nanoscale still has a considerable amount of rigidity. However, surface imperfections have a significant impact on ZnO nanowire fracture behavior: smaller diameter nanowires with fewer flaws may withstand higher fracture stresses (up to ~6%) [10]. ZnO nanorods' mechanical compliance at the nanoscale was further confirmed by nanomanipulation investigations using atomic force microscopy (AFM) to assess their elastic behavior and spring constant [11].

# 3. Mechanical Behaviour of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO Nanocomposite

# 3.1 Microstructure and Interfacial Effects

The two phases are still separate, according to structural analyses of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO composites (e.g., at a 70:30 ratio): Separate spinel CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and wurtzite ZnO phases are confirmed by X-ray diffraction [1]. Both phases have roughly spherical shape and generally homogeneous mixing at the nanoscale, according to transmission electron microscopy and scanning electron microscopy [1]. Mechanical performance depends on the contact between the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnO phases; a robust, well-bonded interface should enable effective stress transmission, increasing modulus and hardness. On the other hand, under stress, weak or flawed interfaces might act as fracture initiation sites, decreasing toughness [5]. Furthermore, internal tensions may result from the discrepancy in lattice characteristics and thermal expansion between CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnO, which may have an impact on mechanical stability.

#### 3.2 Hardness and Elastic Modulus

Although there is a lack of direct nanoindentation data for CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO composites, comparable systems and constituent behavior can provide insights. While the ZnO phase supplies compliance with its modest elastic modulus, the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> component offers stiffness and resistance to deformation [3,11]. Small additions of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> greatly boost stiffness and hardness in polymer-ferrite composites [7]. An ideal composition (e.g., moderate CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> wt%) for the nanocomposite may enhance hardness and modulus before to the beginning of agglomeration or interfacial weakening.

# 3.3 Tensile Strength and Fracture Behavior

The dispersion of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and the quality of interfaces will have a significant impact on the composite's tensile strength. While inadequate dispersion or weak interfacial adhesion may result in early failure, uniformly distributed, well-bonded ferrite particles can serve as load-bearing reinforcements. Through pinning, energy dissipation at interfaces, or crack-deflection, the existence of a second (ZnO) phase can increase fracture toughness. At the border between CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnO,

cracks may shift direction, requiring more energy to propagate. However, those same interfaces might serve as simple fracture routes if bonding is poor.

# 3.4 Mechanical Stability under Operational Conditions

The composite may experience cyclic mechanical, thermal, or magnetic stresses in practical applications (such as magnetoelectric devices or structural coatings). Over time, fatigue or interface deterioration may result from cyclic internal stresses caused by differences in the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) and magnetostriction between CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and ZnO. In order to guarantee mechanical reliability, synthesis method, heat treatment, and interface engineering become crucial. The all property comparison of CFO and Zno are shown in the table-1.

Table :1 –	Comparison	of CFO	and ZnO
------------	------------	--------	---------

Property	CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	ZnO	CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /ZnO Nanocomposite	Ref
Hardness	Very high	Moderate-high	Expected intermediate-to-high,	[1,6,10]
		stiffness	depending on composition and	
			interface	
Elastic	High, rigid	~123–154 GPa	Likely in between, influenced by	[10]
Modulus		(nanowires) [10]	load transfer and mixing	
Tensile	Enhanced in	Good due to elastic	Depends on dispersion & bonding	[7]
Strength	composites	nature		
Fracture	Brittle but	Defect-sensitive;	Potential for improved toughness	[10]
Behavior	strong	good strain	if interfaces are engineered	
		tolerance for small		
		diameters		

# 4. Futuristic work

- *Direct Mechanical Measurements:* For CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO composites in particular, nanoindentation, tensile, and fracture toughness data are lacking.
- *contact Characterization:* To evaluate the strength, bonding, and stress distribution at the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>–ZnO contact, in-depth microscopic and spectroscopic investigations are required.
- Fatigue and Cyclic Testing: It is unclear how the composite will respond to cyclic mechanical, magnetic, and thermal loads.
- Compositional Optimization: To determine the best trade-off between stiffness, strength, and toughness, systematic adjustment of the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:ZnO ratio, particle size, and processing technique is required.
- *Multiscale Modeling:* Computational modeling, such as atomistic and finite element modeling, can direct experimental synthesis and forecast mechanical behavior.

# 5. Conclusion

By combining the elastic compliance of ZnO with the hardness and magnetic resilience of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO nanocomposite system exhibits promising mechanical characteristics. Direct mechanical characterisation is crucial, even if theoretical and indirect data indicates that such composites may have high hardness, excellent modulus, and possibly improved fracture toughness. To fully use this multifunctional nanomaterial, it will be necessary to optimize interfaces, composition, and microstructure in addition to researching long-term operating stability.

#### References

- [1] Arman, M. M., & El-Dek, S. I. (2023). Structural, surface, magnetic study and application of nanoparticles CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, ZnO and its nanocomposite. *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism*, 36(6), 1913–1925. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-023-06627-z
- [2] Roy, A., Mead, J., Wang, S., Huang, H. (2017). Effects of surface defects on the mechanical properties of ZnO nanowires. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 9547. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09843-5
- [3] Kumar, S., Ahmed, F., Shaalan, N. M., Kumar, R., Alshoaibi, A., Arshi, N., Dalela, S., Sayeed, F., Dwivedi, S., & Kumari, K. (2022). Structural, magnetic, and electrical properties of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanostructures synthesized using microwave-assisted hydrothermal method. *Materials*, 15(22), 7955. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15227955
- [4] Reddy, A. E., Anitha, T., Muralee Gopi, C. V. V., Rao, S. S., Naresh, B., & Kim, H. (2018). Construction of novel nanocomposite ZnO@CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microspheres grown on nickel foam for high performance electrochemical supercapacitors. *Analytical Methods*, 10(2), 223–229. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7AY02176C
- [5] Sonia, P. K., & Ashok, K. (2024). Multifunctional CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO nanocomposites: probing magnetic and photocatalytic properties. *Nanotechnology*, *35*(14), 145706. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/ad1162">https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/ad1162</a>
- [6] Manh, D. H., Thanh, T. D., Phan, T. L., & Yang, D. S. (2023). Towards hard-magnetic behavior of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles: a detailed study of crystalline and electronic structures, and magnetic properties. *RSC Advances*, 13(12), 8163–8172. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3RA00525A
- [7] Yang, Yong; Ali, Farman; Said, Amir; Ali, Nisar; Ahmad, Sohrab; Raziq, Fazal; Khan, Sajid . (2020). Fabrication, mechanical, and electromagnetic studies of cobalt ferrite basedâ □ epoxy nanocomposites. Polymer Composites, (), pc.25824—. doi:10.1002/pc.25824
- [8] Ponce, A. S., Chagas, E. F., Prado, R. J., Fernandes, C. H. M., Terezo, A. J., & Baggio-Saitovitch, E. (2013). High coercivity induced by mechanical milling in cobalt ferrite powders. *arXiv preprint*. <a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.1945">https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.1945</a>
- [9] Chagas, E. F., Ponce, A. S., Prado, R. J., Silva, G. M., Bettini, J., & Baggio-Saitovitch, E. (2014). Thermal effect on magnetic parameters of high-coercivity cobalt ferrite. *arXiv preprint*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.2965
- [10] Zhang, "Mechanical/Electrical Characterization of ZnO Nanomaterial Based on AFM / Nanomanipulator Embedded in SEM." *PMC*, (year). (AFM-based mechanical test) https://doi.org/... (from turn0search11)
- [11] Hou, Z., Liu, C., Gong, J., Wu, J., Sun, S., Zhang, M., & Sun, X. (2022). Micro-structural design of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SWCNTs composites for enhanced electromagnetic properties. *Coatings*, *12*(10), 1532. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings12101532